

Another look at the Vietoris Locale construction

Work in progress!

Dr Christopher F. Townsend

www.christophertownsend.org

25th October 2025

1. Background: locale theory
2. Define $V(X)$, the Vietoris locale of X
3. Vietoris Locale Construction - Examples
4. Vietoris Locale Construction - Key Facts
5. Vietoris Locale Construction - via the power locales
6. Extending the domain of definition
7. Application: $B\mathbb{G}$ is a topos for any localic groupoid \mathbb{G}

Background: locale theory

We shall be focusing on the category of locales \mathbf{Loc} ($\equiv \mathbf{Fr}^{op}$ where \mathbf{Fr} is the category of frames; $\mathbf{Fr} = \mathbf{Sup} \cap \mathbf{PreFr} \cap \mathbf{DLat}$).

- There is a Sierpński locale \mathbb{S} - an internal distributive lattice in the category of locales.

The locale \mathbb{S} can be used to describe lower (P_L) and upper (P_U) power locales:

Fact

$$\mathbf{Loc}(Y, P_L(X)) \cong \mathbf{Sup}(\mathcal{O}X, \mathcal{O}Y) \cong \sqcup\text{-Slat}[\mathbb{S}^X, \mathbb{S}^Y].$$

$$\mathbf{Loc}(Y, P_U(X)) \cong \mathbf{PreFr}(\mathcal{O}X, \mathcal{O}Y) \cong \sqcap\text{-Slat}[\mathbb{S}^X, \mathbb{S}^Y].$$

- Here \mathbb{S}^X is a *presheaf* $\mathbf{Loc}^{op} \longrightarrow \mathbf{Set}$ defined by $W \mapsto \mathbf{Loc}(W \times X, \mathbb{S})$.
- The points $P_L(X)$ are the closed sublocales of X . The points of $P_U(X)$ are the fitted (intersection of open) sublocales of X with compact domain.

The Vietoris Locale construction

Definition

For every locale X we can associated the Vietoris locale $V(X)$. Its opens are generated by $\Box a$ and $\Diamond a$ for each open a of X .

Subject to:

- \Diamond preserves arbitrary joins ('suplattice structure', **Sup**)
 - \Box preserves directed joins and finite meets ('preframe structure', **PreFr**)
 - $\Diamond a \wedge \Box b \leq \Diamond(a \wedge b)$; and, $\Box(a \vee b) \leq \Box a \vee \Diamond b$
-
- Introduced by Johnstone in 1985 ([J85]).
 - Comes from the Vietoris hyperspace construction (1922), which was defined for only compact Hausdorff spaces.
 - Johnstone saw that the construction could be done for all locales (not just compact Hausdorff locales).

Examples

Example

If X is a compact Hausdorff space, then we can define a Vietoris hyperspace by defining a topology on the set of closed subsets of X . The topology is generated by

$$\diamond U = \{F \mid F \cap U \neq \emptyset\} \text{ and } \square U = \{F \mid F \subseteq U\}$$

over all opens U of X . Purely formal/lattice theoretic matter to check that these opens satisfy the conditions just given in defining $V(X)$.

Example

If X is discrete then $V(X)$ is the set of finite subsets of X . Proof: A point of $V(X)$ will consist of (i) a suplattice hom. from PX ; i.e. just a subset I of X , and (ii) a preframe hom. from PX - i.e. a Scott open filter.

Then the 'modal' like conditions ensure that this filter is $\uparrow I$ and so I is finite.

(If $\square J = 1$ then $\forall i \in I, \diamond(\{i\}) = 1$; so, $\diamond(\{i\} \cap J) = 1$; i.e. $i \in J$. In the other direction $1 = \square(I \cup I^c) \leq \square(I) \vee \diamond(I^c) = \square(I) \vee 0 = \square(I)$; so $\square J = 1, \forall J$ with $I \subseteq J$).

Facts about $V(X)$

Johnstone 1985 proved:

- V is a monad.
- If X is compact Hausdorff then $V(X)$ is compact Hausdorff.
- If X is locally compact, then so is $V(X)$.
- The points of $V(X)$ are the compact semi-fitted (a meet of fitted and closed) sublocales of $V(X)$.
- $V(X)$ has a semilattice structure
- there is at most one V -algebra structure on any X for a given semilattice structure.

A couple of other key facts:

- (I) Between compact Hausdorff algebras of V , semilattice homomorphisms are always V -algebra homomorphisms but *not all compact Hausdorff semilattices are V -algebras*.
- (II) For compact Hausdorff X , $\text{Patch}(\mathbb{S}^X) \cong V(X)$.

Looking at V via the power locales

It is immediate to see we can construct $V(X)$ as an equalizer in the category of locales:

$$VX \longrightharpoonup P_L(X) \times P_U(X) \rightrightarrows Z$$

with the Z and the arrows determined by the exponential structure, so as to capture the two 'modal like' conditions. I was revisiting the area, trying to just exploit exponentiation as the universal way of looking at power locales.

How much of Johnstone 1985 can we recover?

- V is a monad - because P_L and P_U are.
- $V(X)$ is a semilattice, because $P_L(X)$ and $P_U(X)$ are semilattices.
- The points of $V(X)$ are the compact semi-fitted sublocales because they are the meets of pairs of points of P_L and P_U .
- V preserves compact Hausdorffness.

This is really mostly originally covered in Vickers work [V97] using generators and relations. For example, using the coverage theorems for the last one.

V via the power locales - newer perspectives

Some initial added perspective:

- V is formally self-dual.
- $V(X)$ is discrete if X is (and this is formally the same result that compact Hausdorffness is preserved).
- For discrete X , $P_L X \cong \text{Idl}(V(X))$ (cf 'most basic result' $PA = \text{idl}(FA)$; the power set is the ideal completion of the set of finite subsets of A for any set A).
- By duality $\text{Patch}(\mathbb{S}^X) = V(X)$ for compact Hausdorff X (as patch reverses ideal completion and $P_U X \cong \mathbb{S}^X$)
- for discrete/compact Hausdorff V -algebras, semilattice homomorphisms are V -algebra homomorphisms.

(These 'categorical' proofs are at various degrees of maturity.) But what really interested me was ...

Extending the domain of definition

Let \mathbb{G} be a localic groupoid. Then we can naturally extend the definition of P_L and P_U to the category $[\mathbb{G}, \mathbf{Loc}]$ of \mathbb{G} -objects. For example (lower case, \mathbb{G} just a group, G):

$$P_L^{\mathbb{G}}(X, a : G \times X \longrightarrow X) \equiv ((P_L(X), G \times P_L(X) \longrightarrow P_L(G \times X) \xrightarrow{P_L(a)} P_L(X))$$

where the first un-labelled arrow is the strength that arises through the definition of P_L via exponentiation.

All of the key 'categorical' constructions work relative to $[\mathbb{G}, \mathbf{Loc}]$; for example we can trivially lift the definition of V :

$$V^{\mathbb{G}} \longmapsto P_L^{\mathbb{G}} \times P_U^{\mathbb{G}} \Longrightarrow \dots$$

and the results under discussion lift to this broader context.

This generality is important because:

- It 'covers all bounded toposes' since all such toposes are of the form $B(\mathbb{G}) = \mathbb{G}$ -equivariant sheaves. These embed in $[\mathbb{G}, \mathbf{Loc}]$ as the discrete \mathbb{G} -objects.
- It is an even more general context, as for connected localic groups G , $B(G)$ is trivial but $[\mathbb{G}, \mathbf{Loc}]$ is not.

Novel proof that $B(\mathbb{G})$ is a topos (avoiding Girard's theorem)

Proposition:

If $Idl^{\mathbb{G}}(-) : V^{\mathbb{G}}\text{-Alg}_{\mathbf{Dis}} \longrightarrow [\mathbb{G}, \mathbf{Loc}]^{op}$ has a right adjoint then $B(\mathbb{G})$ is a topos.

Proof.

Let R be the right adjoint $[\mathbb{G}, \mathbf{Loc}]^{op} \longrightarrow V^{\mathbb{G}}\text{-alg}_{\mathbf{Dis}}$ be the right adjoint. Then, for any two discrete \mathbb{G} -objects, A, B :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbf{Dis}_{[\mathbb{G}, \mathbf{Loc}]}(B, RA) &\cong V^{\mathbb{G}}\text{-Dis}(V^{\mathbb{G}}B, (RA, \alpha_{RA})) \\ &\cong [\mathbb{G}, \mathbf{Loc}](A, Idl^{\mathbb{G}} V^{\mathbb{G}}B) \cong [\mathbb{G}, \mathbf{Loc}](A, P_L^{\mathbb{G}}(B)) \\ &\cong [\mathbb{G}, \mathbf{Loc}](A, \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{G}}^B) \text{ (Vickers)} \\ &\cong [\mathbb{G}, \mathbf{Loc}](A \times B, \mathbb{S}_{\mathbb{G}}) \\ &= \text{“relations on } A \times B\text{”} \end{aligned}$$

So RA must be the powerset on A .



Outline of how the right adjoint R exists:

Hinges on the fact that discrete semilattices are the same thing as discrete V -algebras in any topos. Use forgetful functor from $B\mathbb{G}$ to $Sh(G_0)$ (not using that $B(\mathbb{G})$ is a topos!).

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
 \mathbf{Loc}^{op} & \xrightleftharpoons[U]{G \times (-)} & [G, \mathbf{Loc}]^{op} \\
 \uparrow \text{Idl}(-) \dashv \Omega^{op} & & \uparrow \text{Idl}^G(-) \dashv R \\
 V\text{-alg}_{\mathbf{Dis}} & & V^G\text{-alg}_{\mathbf{Dis}} \\
 \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\
 \mathbf{SLat} & \xrightleftharpoons[\gamma^*]{\gamma_*} & \mathbf{SLat}_{B(G)}
 \end{array}$$

where γ is derived from the 'geometric morphism' $\gamma : \mathbf{Set} \longrightarrow B(G)$. NB: U is monadic. 11/13

Conclusions

- V can be defined relative to $[\mathbb{G}, \mathbf{Loc}]$ - i.e. even more general than previously known.
- Some known 'hard' results are duals of 'easy' results (e.g. $V(X)$ is the patch of \mathbb{S}^X for compact Hausdorff X).
- There is a novel way of looking at constructing power objects in $B(\mathbb{G})$.
- *Still to do*: preservation of local compactness and uniqueness of V -algebra structure (given a fixed semilattice structure).
- Approach could help to describe étale completion of localic groupoids.

References



Johnstone, P. *Vietoris Locales and Localic Semilattices* Continuous Lattices and Their Applications. 1985 Imprint CRC Press Pages 26



Vickers, S. *Constructive points of powerlocales* Mathematical Proceedings of the Cambridge Philosophical Society, vol. 122, Issue 2, p.207-222