

Lecture - Codensity Monads

Recall - Given an adjunction

$$\mathcal{C} \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{F} \\ \perp \\ \xleftarrow{G} \end{array} \mathcal{D}$$

then $(G \circ F, G \circ F, \eta)$ is a monad on $\mathcal{C}$
and $(F \circ G, -, -)$ is a comonad on $\mathcal{D}$

But sometimes, even if there is no adjunction,
there may be a monad anyway.

2 examples

1) $\text{FinSet} \longleftrightarrow \text{Set}$

Exercise: Why is there no adjunction here?

The associated codensity monad is the
ultrafilter monad and the algebras are
the compact Hausdorff spaces (Gildenhuys &
Kennison)

2) $\text{Vec}_{fd} \longleftrightarrow \text{Vec}$

Again, no adjunction.

In this case,

the codensity monad is the double dual monad $()^{**} : \text{Vec} \rightarrow \text{Vec}$.

The algebras for this monad are the linearly compact spaces, in the sense of Lefschetz.
(Leinster)

Lots of others

1) $\text{FinSet} \leftrightarrow \text{Top}$ (Sipos)
 $\uparrow$ discrete topology

2) The Giry monad can be realized as a codensity monad. (Avery)

3) If there is an adjunction, and the codensity construction exists, then we get the usual monad.

Lots of examples in archives.

Density

(3)

Intuition: Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a subcat of $\mathcal{A}$. $\mathcal{C}$ is dense if every object of $\mathcal{A}$ is a colimit of objects of $\mathcal{C}$.

But it is best stated in terms of arbitrary functors, not just inclusions.

Def'n: A functor $F: S \rightarrow C$ is dense if every object $c \in \text{Ob}(C)$ is obtained via the following colimit

$$\text{Colim}(F/c \xrightarrow{\pi} S \xrightarrow{F} C) = c$$

where F/c is the comma category

objects are pairs $(d, g: Fd \rightarrow c)$

arrows $h: d \rightarrow d'$ s.t.

$$\begin{array}{ccc} Fd & \xrightarrow{Fh} & Fd' \\ g \searrow & & \swarrow g' \\ & c & \end{array}$$

π is the functor

④③

$$\pi: F/C \rightarrow S$$

defined by $(d, g: Fd \rightarrow c) \mapsto d$

Thm: TFAE

1) $F: S \rightarrow C$ is dense

2) The restricted yoneda embedding

$$Y: C \rightarrow \text{Fun}(S^{\text{op}}, \text{Set})$$

is full & faithful. Exercise: Figure out what this is.

Examples

1) $\{*\}$ $\hookrightarrow$ Set

↑
1 object discrete category

2) FinSet $\longleftrightarrow$ Set

3) Grp $\longleftrightarrow$ Grp

4) The Yoneda embedding

$$Y: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \text{Func}(\mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}, \text{Set})$$

every functor is a colimit of representables.

Some weird facts

1) Dense functors are not closed under composition

2) Top, the category of topological spaces & continuous maps, has no small dense subcategory.

Defn: $F: A \rightarrow B$ is codense if $\forall b \in \text{Ob}(B)$

$$\text{Lim}((b \downarrow F) \rightarrow A \xrightarrow{F} B) = b$$

Codense functors are harder to come by.

Codensity monads are a way of measuring its failure to be codense

Def: Let $G: \mathcal{B} \rightarrow \mathcal{A}$ be a functor. The codensity monad, if it exists, is defined via ends ~~so it~~

$$T^G(A) = \int_{B \in \mathcal{B}} G(B) \underbrace{A(A, G(B))}_{\substack{\text{the power of } G(B) \\ |A(A, G(B))| \text{-times}}}$$

This is equivalent to saying

$$T^G(A) = \text{colim} (A \downarrow G \xrightarrow{\pi} B \xrightarrow{G} A)$$

so, clearly G is codense if and only if $T^G \simeq \text{id}_A$

Thm: - T^G is always a monad
 - If G has a left adjoint, then T^G is the usual monad

- T^G can be equivalently defined
in terms of Kan extensions.

⑦

Suppose $G: B \rightarrow \text{Set}$

One can prove:

$$T^G(A) = \left\{ p: p \text{ is a natural transformation} \right. \\ \left. \begin{array}{c} \uparrow \\ \text{a set} \end{array} \quad G^A \rightarrow G \right\}$$

Double Dualization

$$(\)^{**}: \text{Vec} \rightarrow \text{Vec} \quad \text{is a monad}$$

It is induced by the self-adjoint

$$(\)^*: \text{Vec}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Vec}$$

Calculations:

$$\text{Hom}_{\text{Vec}}(A, B^*) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Vec}}(A, B \otimes k)$$

$$\cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Vec}}(A \otimes B, k) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Vec}}(B \otimes A, k) \cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Vec}}(B, A^*)$$

$$\cong \text{Hom}_{\text{Vec}^{\text{op}}}(A^*, B)$$

This adjunction gives a monad on Vec

It's a much more general result:

Thm: In any symmetric monoidal closed category, for any object c , the functor

$(-) \multimap c : \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ is self-adjoint and induces a monad on $\mathcal{C}$.

Another approach

Kleisli Triples (Ernie Manes)

Equivalent to a monad

arrows
for all A

$$T : \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C}) \rightarrow \text{Ob}(\mathcal{C})$$

$$\eta_A : A \rightarrow TA$$

lifting

$$\frac{A \rightarrow TB}{f^{\#} : TA \rightarrow TB}$$

satisfying some equations.

Exercise for students. Construct lifting operators.

Thm (Leinster) Double dualization is in fact ⑨
the codensity monad for the functor

$$\text{Vec}_{\text{fd}} \longleftrightarrow \text{Vec}$$

The proof is a calculation directly from the definition.

What are the T-algebras?

Def'n: Let $G: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ be a functor with a left adjoint F . Then $F \hat{G}$ factors through the forgetful functor

$$U: \mathcal{C}^T \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$$

So we get $F: \mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{F} \mathcal{C}$

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \hat{F} & \downarrow & \uparrow U \\ & \mathcal{C}^T & \end{array}$$

G is monadic if $\hat{F}$ is an equivalence of categories.

There's a thm to check for monodicity,
called Beck's Monodicity Thm for
determining monodicity.

Thm: $U: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ is monodic iff

- 1) U has a left adjoint
- 2) U reflects isomorphisms, i.e.
 $U(f)$ an iso implies f an iso
- 3) $\mathcal{C}$ has coequalizers of U -split
parallel pairs, and U preserves
those coequalizers

Thm (Leinster)

$$(\)^*: \mathbf{Vec}^{op} \rightarrow \mathbf{Vec} \text{ is monodic}$$

Corollary

The category of T-algebras for the
double dualization monad is equivalent
to $\mathbf{Vec}^{op}$

Solomon Lefschetz defined the
notion of linear topology to have an
 ∞ -dimensional strong duality

His category $LTopMod$ is symmetric
monoidal closed AND

the usual embedding

$$\rho: V \rightarrow V^{**} \text{ is always a bijection}$$

When one restricts to all objects such that

ρ is an isomorphism, one gets a

$\ast$ -autonomous category

This is the category which led
to the definition (Barr)

~~10/11~~
(12)

A topology on a K -vector space V is linear if it has a neighbourhood basis, $\mathcal{B}_0$ consisting of open linear subspaces. We'll use Lebesgue for linear

Cat is called $LTopMod$. NB Mod is the discrete subcategory

Lemma: $LTopMod$ is an Ab-category

Then TFAE for a Lebesgue space A

- 1) A is complete, and all its open subspaces (linear) are of finite codimension.
- 2) A is isomorphic to the dual V^* of a discrete vector space V , with pointwise topology
- 3) A is iso to K^X with X a discrete set and with pointwise topology
- 4) A is the closure of the linear span of a compact subset of A .
- 5) Every filter of closed subspaces of A has nonempty intersection.

Def: A space satisfying the above is called linearly compact.

Thm (Lefschetz)

(13)

$$\text{Vec}^{\text{op}} \simeq \underbrace{\text{LCPT}}$$

linearly compact spaces
& linearly ~~compact~~ continuous functions

See Prop 24.8 of Bergman & Harsknecht

$$\text{Vec}^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \text{LCPT}$$

$V \mapsto V^*$ topologized with topology
of pointwise convergence



$$\text{Hom}_{\text{LCPT}}(A, K) \hookrightarrow A$$

We have the following analogy

~~finite~~

sets $\longrightarrow$ compact Hausdorff

vector spaces $\longrightarrow$ linearly compact
vector spaces

Can this analogy be generalized?

(14)

References

1) Tom Leinster: Codensity & the ultra-filter monad

2) Tom Avery: Codensity & The Giry Monad

3) Bergman & Hasknecht:

Cogroups & Co-rings in categories of associative rings