## Grothendieck 2-topoi are elementary 2-topoi

# Luca Mesiti

# University of Leeds Category Theory Octoberfest 2023

29/10/2023

Luca Mesiti

Grothendieck 2-topoi are elementary 2-topoi

A >

# Subobject classifiers

### Definition.

Let C be a 1-category with finite limits. A **subobject classifier** in C is a **monomorphism**  $\tau: 1 \hookrightarrow \Omega$  in C that is **universal** in the following sense:



For every  $X \in C$  the function

$$\mathcal{G}_{\tau,X}: \mathcal{C}(X,\Omega) \to \mathrm{Sub}(X)$$

given by pulling back  $\tau$  is a bijection.

Luca Mesiti

## Elementary toposes

An **elementary topos** is a category C with finite limits that has a subobject classifier and is cartesian closed.

An elementary topos has an internal logic!

#### Example.

The archetypal elementary topos is *Set*.

 $T: 1 \hookrightarrow \{T, F\}$  classifies subsets via the characteristic functions.



## 2-classifiers

#### Definition (Weber, slightly changed).

Let  $\mathcal{K}$  be a 2-category with finite 2-limits. A **2-classifier** is a morphism  $\tau: 1 \rightarrow \Omega$  in  $\mathcal{K}$  such that for every  $X \in \mathcal{K}$  the functor

$$\mathcal{G}_{\tau,X} \colon \mathcal{K}(X,\Omega) \to \mathcal{D}Op\mathcal{F}ib_{\mathcal{K}}(X)$$

given by taking comma objects from  $\tau: 1 \rightarrow \Omega$  is an equivalence of categories.



## **Discrete opfibrations**

We upgrade the subobjects to **discrete opfibrations**, that (essentially) **have as fibres arbitrary sets** (thus of one dimension higher).



The archetypal elementary 2-topos is CAT.

1:  $1 \rightarrow Set$  classifies all discrete opfibrations with small fibres, via the category of elements (Grothendieck construction).



equivalence of categories

 $[\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{S}et] \simeq \mathcal{D}Op\mathcal{F}ib(\mathcal{B})$ 

## **Dense generators**

### Definition.

A 2-functor  $J: \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{K}$  is **dense** if the restricted Yoneda embedding

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \widetilde{J}: & \mathcal{K} & \longrightarrow & [\mathcal{Y}^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathcal{CAT}] \\ & F & \mapsto & \mathcal{K}(J(-), F) \end{array} & \text{ is fully faithful.} \end{array}$$

If *J* is fully faithful, this is equivalent to ask that each object  $F \in \mathcal{K}$  is a weighted 2-colimit of objects of  $\mathcal{Y}$  which is preserved by  $\widetilde{J}$ .

#### Example.

#### Representables are a dense generator for 2-presheaves. Every

2-presheaf is a weighted 2-colimit of representables.

< 🗇 🕨

## Reduction to dense generators

#### We reduce the study of 2-classifiers to dense generators.

Let  $J: \mathcal{Y} \to \mathcal{K}$  be a fully faithful dense 2-functor. Consider a discrete opfibration  $\tau: \Omega_{\bullet} \to \Omega$  in  $\mathcal{K}$ .

#### **Proposition (M.).**

If  $\forall Y \in \mathcal{Y}$  the functor  $\mathcal{G}_{\tau,Y} \colon \mathcal{K}(Y,\Omega) \to \mathcal{D}Op\mathcal{F}ib(Y)$  is faithful, then  $\forall F \in \mathcal{K}$  the functor  $\mathcal{G}_{\tau,F} \colon \mathcal{K}(F,\Omega) \to \mathcal{D}Op\mathcal{F}ib(F)$  is faithful.

#### Theorem (M.).

If  $\forall Y \in \mathcal{Y}$  the functor  $\mathcal{G}_{\tau,Y} \colon \mathcal{K}(Y,\Omega) \to \mathcal{D}Op\mathcal{F}ib(Y)$  is fully faithful, then  $\forall F \in \mathcal{K}$  the functor  $\mathcal{G}_{\tau,F} \colon \mathcal{K}(F,\Omega) \to \mathcal{D}Op\mathcal{F}ib(F)$  is full (and faithful).

## Reduction to dense generators

### Theorem (M.).

Assume that  $\forall Y \in \mathcal{Y}$  the functor  $\mathcal{G}_{\tau,Y} \colon \mathcal{K}(Y,\Omega) \to \mathcal{D}Op\mathcal{F}ib(Y)$  is an **equivalence of categories**. If an operation of normalization is possible, **then**  $\forall F \in \mathcal{K}$  the functor  $\mathcal{G}_{\tau,F} \colon \mathcal{K}(F,\Omega) \to \mathcal{D}Op\mathcal{F}ib(F)$  is **essentially surjective on objects** (and fully faithful).



#### Example (Reduction of the category of elements).

The singleton category 1 is a dense generator in CAT. So we can just look at the discrete opfibrations over 1.

$$\mathcal{G}_{\tau,1}$$
:  $CAT(1, Set) \rightarrow Set$ 

sends a functor  $1 \rightarrow Set$  to the set it picks, so it is an equivalence of categories. By the theorems of reduction, the construction of the category of elements is fully faithful and classifies all discrete opfibrations with small fibres.

Moreover, following the proof, we obtain a classifying morphism for a  $\varphi$  by collecting all its fibres, since the pullback of  $\varphi$  along  $B: 1 \to \mathcal{B}$  gives precisely the fibre over B.

Let C be a category and consider  $\mathcal{K} = [C^{op}, C\mathcal{AT}]$ . Representables form a dense generator, so we can just look at

 $\mathcal{G}_{\tau, \mathsf{y}(C)} \colon [\mathcal{C}^{\mathsf{op}}, \mathcal{CAT}](\mathsf{y}(C), \Omega) \to \mathcal{D}Op\mathcal{F}ib(\mathsf{y}(C))$ 

and ask this to be an equivalence of categories for every  $C \in C$ .

 $C \xrightarrow{\Omega} \mathcal{DOpFib}(y(C))$  would only give a pseudofunctor  $\mathcal{C}^{op} \to C\mathcal{AT}$ . Thanks to the **indexed Grothendieck construction (joint work with Elena Caviglia)**, we can take the strict replacement

 $C \stackrel{\widetilde{\Omega}}{\mapsto} \left[ \left( \mathcal{C} / \mathcal{C} \right)^{\mathrm{op}}, Set \right]$ 

This is in line with Hofmann-Streicher.

く 同 ト く ヨ ト く ヨ ト

# A 2-classifier in prestacks

### Theorem (M.).

Consider  $\tau: 1 \to \Omega$  such that  $\tau_C$  picks  $\operatorname{id}_{y(C)} \in \mathcal{DOpFib}(y(C))$ . Then  $\mathcal{G}_{\tau,y(C)}: \operatorname{Ps}[\mathcal{C}^{\operatorname{op}}, \mathcal{CAT}](y(C), \Omega) \to \mathcal{DOpFib}(y(C)) = \Omega(C)$ is **isomorphic to Yoneda's lemma map and is thus an equivalence**. The corresponding  $\widetilde{\tau}: 1 \to \widetilde{\Omega}$  such that  $\widetilde{\tau}_C$  picks  $\Delta 1$  is a 2-classifier in  $[\mathcal{C}^{\operatorname{op}}, \mathcal{CAT}]$ .

Idea of the normalization process:



change the fibre  $(\psi_D^{C,X})_{D \to C}$ into the fibre  $(\varphi_D)_{F(f)(X)}$ (fibres of  $\varphi$  are global).

## Stacks

## Definition (Idea).

A **stack** is a **bicategorical sheaf**. Matching families are only required to satisfy the **descent compatibility up to isomorphism**.

A descent datum for  $F : C^{op} \to CAT$  w.r.t. a sieve S on  $C \in C$  is  $(D \xrightarrow{f} C) \in S \longmapsto x_f \in F(D)$ 

and for every  $D'' \xrightarrow{h} D' \xrightarrow{g} D \xrightarrow{f} C$  with  $f \in S$  an isomorphism

$$\varphi^{f,g}\colon g^*x_f \xrightarrow{\simeq} x_{f\circ g}$$

$$\begin{array}{c} h^*(g^*x_f) \xrightarrow{h^*\varphi^{f,g}} h^*(x_{f\circ g}) \\ & \downarrow \\ (g \circ h)^*(x_f) \xrightarrow{\varphi^{f,g \circ h}} x_{f\circ g \circ h}. \end{array}$$

く 戸 と く ヨ と く ヨ と

## A 2-classifier in stacks

We want to restrict the 2-classifier

$$\widetilde{D}: \ \mathcal{C}^{\mathsf{op}} \longrightarrow \ \mathcal{CAT} \\ \mathcal{C} \ \mapsto \ \left[ \left( \mathcal{C}/\mathcal{C} \right)^{\mathsf{op}}, \mathcal{Set} \right]$$

in  $[C^{op}, CAT]$  to a 2-classifier  $\Omega_J$  in functorial stacks St(C, J).

 $\Omega_J$  needs to be **tight enough to be a stack** but at the same time **loose enough to host the classification**.



## A 2-classifier in stacks

### Theorem (M.).

$$\begin{array}{rcl} \Omega_J: & \mathcal{C}^{\operatorname{op}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{CAT} \\ & \mathcal{C} & \mapsto & \mathcal{Sh}\big(\mathcal{C}/\mathcal{C}\big) \end{array}$$

#### is a functorial stack.

For discrete opfibrations  $\varphi \colon \mathcal{H} \to y(C)$  in  $\mathcal{S}t(\mathcal{C}, J)$  over representables, the characteristic morphism  $\chi^{l(\varphi)}$  factorizes through  $i(\Omega_J)$ .

And the normalization process is done in prestacks.

Then  $\tau_J: \Omega_{J,\bullet} \to \Omega_J$  is a 2-classifier in functorial stacks  $St(\mathcal{C}, J)$ , classifying all discrete optibrations with small fibres.

< 日 > < 同 > < 回 > < 回 > < □ > <

#### Luca Mesiti.

Colimits in 2-dimensional slices. arXiv:2305.01494, 2023.

### Luca Mesiti.

The 2-Set-enriched Grothendieck construction and the lax normal conical 2-limits. *arXiv:2302.04566*, 2023.

### Elena Caviglia and Luca Mesiti.

Indexed Grothendieck construction. arXiv:2307.16076, 2023.

### Mark Weber.

Yoneda structures from 2-toposes. Appl. Categ. Struct., 2007.